

European Initiative for the Exchange of Military Young Officers



Standardizing Module Evaluation in EMILYO



Nikolaos Karadimas
Ass. Professor of Informatics
Hellenic Army Academy

General

How? When? Why?

- Discussed at the last day of 53rd IG Meeting
- Why?
 - ❖ Most of the academies host cadets from other countries
 - ❖ They provide them with **their** knowledge
 - ❖ ...and other facilities!
- We probably need two Questionnaires:
 - ❖ Evaluation for each module
 - ❖ Evaluation for all the rest (facilities, administrative, health, meals, etc.)

The Questionnaire for the Module Evaluation

- 1st Draft → 3rd Draft
 - ❖ Hellenic Army Academy
 - ❖ Hellenic Authority for Higher Education
 - ❖ Universities (Athens, Crete, Thessaloniki, etc)
 - ❖ Academies (TMA, etc)
 - ❖ Other sources (Professors, Officers, etc.)

Evaluation for each Module

- Suggestion → One questionnaire per instructor?
- One module needs more than one questionnaire **if** we need to “evaluate” all the instructors!

Therefore



- **IF YES** → We should use one per instructor per module
- **IF NOT** → JUST one questionnaire per module is enough!

Evaluation for each Module

- The Questionnaire is divided into 6 main topics
 - ❖ The course/module (1-10)
 - ❖ Assignments (11-16)
 - ❖ The Instructor (17-25)
 - ❖ The Laboratory (26-29)
 - ❖ Me, the cadet (30-32)
 - ❖ Remarks/Comments (33-34)

In total **34** questions
- The scale **1 to 6** is chosen in the questionnaire:
 - 1 (Extremely Disagree) – 2 (Disagree) – 3 (Somewhat Disagree)**
 - 4 (Somewhat Agree) – 5 (Agree) – 6 (Extremely Agree)**

The course/module (1-10)

1. My English skills were sufficient to follow the lectures *
2. The scope of the course was clear *
3. The syllabus met the objectives of the course *
4. The course material was well organized *
5. If needed, the main book(s) or the notes were satisfactory (includes up-to-date knowledge, up-to-date literature, and provides a high level of knowledge)
6. If needed, the educational material (books, notes, bibliographic sources, etc.) that was used helped the better understanding of the topic
7. If needed, the educational material ("textbook", notes, additional bibliography) was provided in a timely manner
8. If needed, the bibliography or the recommended textbooks were available in the academy's library (in printed or digital form)
9. The level of the course and the workload were excessive and caused me a lot of stress/pressure (Except for the modules where "pressure" is part of the learning outcomes) *
10. There is transparency in the assessment criteria *

Assignments (11-16)

(Answer only if individual/group projects are assigned)

11. The assignments in association with the expected learning outcomes were satisfactory
12. The assignments were clearly described by the instructors
13. The instructors provided me with the necessary support in order to complete the assignment
14. The instructors' comments on my assignments helped me improve the way I learned and studied
15. The assignment helped me to implement my existing knowledge
16. The deadline for submitting or presenting the assignments was reasonable

The Instructor (17-25)

17. The assignments were clearly described by the instructors *
18. Organizes and presents well the syllabus of the course/module (use of Information and Communication Technologies – ICT, e-learning Platform, e.g., Moodle, etc.) *
19. Succeeds in stimulating interest in the subject of the course *
20. Analyses and presents the concepts in a simple and interesting way using examples *
21. Encourages the cadets to ask questions and generally promotes dialogue during the course, in order to develop their judgment *
22. Was consistent with his/her obligations (presence in the course, timely correction of assignments or laboratory reports, hours of cooperation with cadets) *
23. Is generally accessible to the cadets *
24. Understands the special and demanding program of the cadets *
25. I am overall satisfied with the course instructor regarding the overall organisation and teaching of the course *

The Laboratory (26-29)

(Answer only if the course includes lab exercises)

26. The lab course level is appropriate

27. The notes for the laboratory exercises are adequate and organized

28. The basic principles of the experiments/exercises are well explained and there is sufficient guidance

29. The equipment of the laboratory is sufficient

Me, the cadet (30-32)

30. I was present at all lectures and/or labs (consider yourself absent when you are in the classroom, but you are not “attending” the course)

31. I systematically turn in written assignments/exercises respecting the deadlines

32. I dedicate to the study of this course/module







None	1 hour	2-3 hours	4-6 hours	6-8 hours	>8 hours
-------------	-------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------	--------------------



Remarks/Comments (33-34)

33. What comments/suggestions do you have for the improvement of the course or the instructor?

34. All in all, how would you evaluate the entire module? Please tick off the field under the figure and above the smiley that describes your feelings best.

1	2	3	4	5	6
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
					

Thank You!
Questions?

?

?

?

?

?

?

European Initiative for the Exchange of Military Young Officers



Questionnaire for Module Evaluation PoC



Nikolaos Karadimas
Ass. Professor of Informatics
Hellenic Army Academy

Contact:

E-mail Office: nkaradimas@sse.gr

E-mail Private: nkaradimas@gmail.com

Mob.: +30 6906126260